

FINAL Meeting Minutes

PARK ACQUISITION CORPORATION

Regular Meeting

7:00 PM

Wednesday, April 2, 2014

Fireside Room, 100 Marin Valley Drive, Novato, CA

ATTENDEES:

- Board Members: Jack Brandon, Larry Cohen, Tom Miller, Jim Olson, Jay Shelfer
- 17 Non-Board Residents
- Recording Secretary: Susan Windman

A. CALL TO ORDER: 7:04 PM

B. APPROVAL OF FINAL AGENDA

1. Corrections to Agenda

- In the Consent Calendar section of the agenda, in #D-1 the date was incorrectly entered as January 8. The agenda has been corrected, as follows: “Receive and approve amended minutes of **February 5**, 2014 meeting.”
- In the Consent Calendar section of the agenda, in #D-2 the date was incorrectly entered as February 5. The agenda has been corrected, as follows: “Approve minutes of **March 5**, 2014 meeting.”

2. Final Agenda Approval

Motion made and seconded to approve the agenda. Motion carried 5-0.

C. PUBLIC COMMENTS

- Peggy Hill provided comments for HOL. Peggy indicated that at the last HOL meeting (held March 19, 2014), HOL discussed revising the MVMCC Rules and Regulations -- specifically, the statement on the first page that residents agree to and sign when they move into the Park.

The residents attending the HOL meeting concurred with Peggy that the statement should be longer and more of a Mission/Objectives statement. They voted

unanimously in favor of sending the following recommended Objective/Mission statement to the PAC Board for consideration:

“Marin Valley Mobile Country Club, a fifty-five plus retirement community, affords the Residents a beautiful, serene, and peaceful setting as well as neighborliness and the enjoyment of the sounds of nature in a quiet setting. Because the homes in MVMCC are in close proximity to each other and because the natural environment, serenity, and neighborliness are important to the Residents, the following rules are designed to provide the Homeowners, Residents, and Guests with a healthy, safe, clean, quiet, and attractive Park.”

Peggy mentioned that the above recommended statement would replace the following current Objectives statement: “It is the objective of PAC to provide to the Homeowners, Residents, and Guests a safe, clean, and attractive park.”

The PAC Board responded that because the above recommendation is not on the evening’s agenda, the topic could not be addressed at the moment by the Board. The topic will be placed on a future PAC Board agenda.

- Owen Haxton made the following statement concerning Park obligations towards its low income families:

“My name is Owen Haxton. I live at 172 Marin Valley Drive. I wish this evening to remind the PAC BOD that they have a continuing obligation to address the need of the ‘moderate, very low and the low’ income resident families of MVMCC. I am deeply appreciative that action has been and is continuing to address the amenities and the ‘curb appeal’ of our community. It was however the needs of the ‘moderate, very low and the low’ income resident families of MVMCC that the Project was approved by many entities. It was with the expectation that their plight would be addressed that they voted a 5.8% rent increase to enable all resident families to escape private ownership. It is the right thing to do and is rapidly becoming the honorable thing to do. I urge this BOD to act to meet the need of the ‘moderate, very low and the low’ income resident families of MVMCC, for adjustments of rent to achieve that which was promised or at the very least were provided an expectation that the City representatives would do so. Thank you for listening. OVH”

D. CONSENT CALENDAR

1. Receive and Approve Amended Minutes of February 5, 2014 meeting.
2. Approve Minutes of March 5, 2014 meeting.

Motion entered and seconded to receive and approve the amended February 5, 2014 minutes and to approve the March 5, 2014 minutes. Motion carried: 5-0

The vote on the March minutes was recalled later in the meeting during discussion of agenda item E-13. Jack had the following comments/corrections to the March 5, 2014 minutes he wanted incorporated in the March minutes:

- At the end of agenda item F-1, the Motion vote should be corrected to indicate that Jack was not in the room for the vote (rather than that Jack was “absent”). In other parts of the minutes, the Board votes after Jack’s departure from the Board meeting should also be corrected to state this.
- At the beginning of agenda item F-4, the description of Tom’s Motion should be edited to say: “Tom made a motion, **which Jack seconded,**” (the bolded portion is now added to the minutes).
- In agenda item F-5, in the paragraph describing Board discussion, Jack asked that the following comment be inserted (at the end of the paragraph): “During additional discussion, Jim stated he would not make changes to submissions from Jack, including typos.”
- In agenda item F-7, Jack asked that his handout statement (“Clarification of Facilities Use Rules”) be included as part of the minutes. The following (indicated in bold) has been inserted into F-7:

Jack read the following ‘Clarification of Facilities Use Rules’ statement:

I support and will favor almost any activities which will create greater use of the facilities in this building. I have in fact voted in support of every expenditure to improve the downstairs rooms. I would like to make it clear that in absolutely no way am I an opponent of Tai-Chi classes. I do however have some questions as to how well our use of this facility by one of our Board members is fulfilling our compliance with both the Delegation Agreement and the Park Rules. I made several attempts to clarify this matter in personal discussions and have been unsuccessful. The issues I feel warrant clarifications are as follows:

- **Page 21 of the Delegation Agreement, Item ‘(n)’ cautions against ‘Any member of the PAC Board of Directors or the Board as a whole takes any action other than action relating to the rental rates, or improvements to the project, which result in personal financial gain to said individual or Board.’**

- **Under Park Rules, Page 7, Number 7, regarding Park Facilities: ‘Park Facilities are not to be used to conduct any type of business or commerce of a public nature.’**

I believe that the Tai-Chi classes as being conducted require clarification as to the propriety of this activity insofar as it being a source of revenue for the party conducting this activity and using this facility. I therefore feel it is the responsibility of our President to request an opinion from the Assistant City Manager or the City Attorney. Thank you.

During the May meeting, Jack asked that the following also be included: **President Olson declined to act on this request.**

After discussion of agenda item E-13, a motion was entered and seconded to receive and accept the amended March 5, 2014 minutes. Motion carried: 5-0.

E. REPORTS

1. PAC Treasurer’s Report (Larry Cohen):

PAC Final Balance	\$5,556.30
PAC Actual Balance	\$2,266.80
Humanitarian Fund Starting and Final Balance	\$3,289.50
Total Spent Current Fiscal Year	\$2277.55

2. MVMCC Administration and Finance Report (Jay Shelfer)

Jay mentioned that the Debt to Service ratio year-to-date is currently at 2.76, well within the requirement of the loan terms.

3. Maintenance and Capital Projects (Jim Olson)

Matt Greenberg is gone for at least two more months, so Jim provided a report:

- Mens card room is done. The pool and billiards table refit has been done. There are new cue sticks, pool balls and bridges. The sign will soon be finished. The bench repair/improvement is getting done so the two structures match (something budgeted for several years). The downstairs looks beautiful.
- Spa resurfacing is done. There’s a new pool fence and gate.
- The generator has been purchased and will arrive any day. The pad for it has been poured. It’s a 30 kilowatt, propane generator. Jim thinks Matt is planning to also have a tank for it, for emergencies, in case the gas line doesn’t work.

- Redesign and implementation of a new path and drainage next to the benches is getting done, at a cost of around \$2500.

4. City of Novato (Jim Olson)

Jim provided updates from his discussions with the City of Novato:

- Novato police are now providing Park speed enforcement.
- Oma Village was approved by the Design Review Commission. Oma Village is at the bottom of the hill between the two churches – what is now open space. It house around 40 families, currently homeless/transitional.
- Jim mentioned he read in the paper the North Marin Water District is asking its customers for a voluntary 20% cutback in water usage.
- North Marin Water District indicated in its Winter 2014 “Water Line” newsletter that it will be distributing free ultra high efficiency toilets in spring 2014 as part of a pilot program. The free toilets are only available to customers who are replacing toilets installed prior to the year 2000. Toilets previously rebated or provided by the water district will not be eligible for replacement. For information, send email to waterconserve@nmwd.com or call (415) 897-4133, ext. 8711.
- A “Drought Drive-up Event” will occur in Novato on Wednesday, April 23, 7:30 AM to 6:30 PM, at Vintage Shopping Center (near Chevy’s). The event is sponsored by Sonoma and North Marin water districts. Free drought tools will be given away (faucet aerator, toilet dye tab test, shower timer, shower bucket, low-flow showerhead, conservation tip card). All participants can enter to win a free high-efficiency toilet or high efficiency washing machine.

5. Preliminary Budget Meeting and Process (Jim Olson, Larry Cohen)

Two meetings occurred this month – budget meeting (Larry, Jim and Novato staff) and 2x2 meeting (Jay, Jim and Novato staff). Re the budget, Jim mentioned it is a rough and preliminary budget. Jim was hoping to receive a copy of the next revision to pass out at this evening’s PAC Board meeting, but he didn’t receive one yet. On the 23rd of April, there will be a special PAC Board meeting scheduled to just discuss the budget. That will be the next chance to see the completed budget. It won’t be finished until everyone approves it and it goes to the City Council. The Council can then still make budget changes at their meeting, which will be held on June 3 at the Park.

Budget details discussed during the meetings include:

- Al has reduced his fees by \$12,000,

- Matt's starting salary for next year will be \$75,000. (Tom and Jack commented this is a \$15,000 (25%) increase from \$60,000 and that since Matt started at \$45,000, this actually represents a 66% increase which is excessive.)
- Number of Jane's hours have been increased, especially since Matt's job now frequently takes him out of the office,
- The serious need to investigate the condition of the Clubhouse roof beams, so that protective measures could be addressed now to help avoid future problems and large costs.
- The ballroom floor carry-over, originally budgeted at \$30,000, is raised to \$50,000 due to concern about asbestos in the tile and the need for the tile to be covered and sealed. The same tiles are in the kitchen and stage and the additional funds would also address these two areas. This floor work would not begin until the ADA work is completed.
- Park insurance is up 7% this year, along with everything else, and is one reason for the increase in rent.
- The Park is still locked in to paying the same amount to Comcast until December 2015.
- The air conditioning request has been moved in to next year's budget.
- The water cooler (costing \$400-500/yr) is getting budgeted in next year's budget instead of the bottled water (costing \$864/yr). The water cooler is coming in around July 1 and will cost less than the bottled water for the year (and is a one-time purchase).
- Regarding the Echo, there is \$350 budgeted for the new Echo, which will begin August.
- The TPL land was purchased and the City indicates it will come out of the Park's account sometime this month.

Cathy (City of Novato) reiterated that the Park is in very good financial shape.

There will be a special budget meeting on April 23rd at the Park. The City Council meeting will be held June 3, also at the Park.

A resident wondered what would happen if the tiles weren't replaced/covered up. Jim responded that eventually the tiles would get chipped enough that replacement would be needed, in addition to large additional costs for asbestos cleanup/mitigation. Another resident indicated her hope that the new floor material would be non toxic, non-gassing. Jim responded that he'll pass the concerns on to Matt.

Jim mentioned that the December (half year) report showed an increase in reserves of \$354,000. After July, whatever has not been spent during the year ends up in this account, so the account should actually be a bit higher.

Jim mentioned there is concern about the costs for the infrastructure work needed in ten years (sewer pipes, water pipes, gas pipes, electricity) and if the Park would have enough money to finance the work. The City estimates \$10 million would be needed to replace the entire system sometime around ten years. Jack commented that when Julian visited the Park an additional time, that Julian came away thinking the work and costs may not be as extensive as originally thought.

Discussion continued as to how much the rent increase would help with providing funds towards the infrastructure project and what other options are available for financing the project (including cutting costs). Jim indicated there are constraints as to how much the rent can be raised.

Larry mentioned that Julian (City of Novato) indicated he would come up with his report sometime next year which would provide a much better idea of what would be needed and when. Also, the Park currently has \$1.25 in capital reserves and almost \$1.5 million in long term reserves.

6. Other topics discussed at the Preliminary Budget Meeting (Jim Olson, Jay Shelfer)

- City indicated the Park needs a new resident packet.
- A new City Engineer has been hired.
- The City expects to begin working with an architect this fiscal year on the ADA work (i.e., before July 1).
- The train culvert easement (i.e., keeping the easement cleared out) is the responsibility of the Park. The property condition report describes this further. The City has a budget for this (which Jim thinks may be around \$2000) for permits and costs.
- PG&E has a program in which they meter each individual residence in a mobile home park. Veronica is looking in to it further to determine if it would be worthwhile for the Park. For example, if PG&E pays for that part of the infrastructure system, it might be something to look at. A resident asked if the individual meters are “smart” meters. Jim responded that it wasn’t a topic that was discussed but is probably part of PG&E’s plan. Jim added that in the Parks that have been individually metered, PG&E doesn’t just put a meter at the house but has to upgrade the entire system so it is up to current code (the whole gas system gets replaced).
- In regard to MVEST projects, City staff indicated they didn’t feel it was important (to them) for the Park to do everything at once.
- Regarding turnaround time (PAC requests and City responses), which at times seems lengthy, City staff indicated they would work on it.

- Regarding the Longtime Ownership Program, it will be restarting in the Fall.
- Regarding Clean Energy vs. PG&E, Tom has done research in the past on the issue and found it isn't worth changing. The Park can decide which way to go on this matter.
- Regarding the proposed zoning change/swap involving the TPL land and Hamilton, negotiations with the federal government are now proceeding regarding changing the TPL land to Park and Recreation, etc. Jay thought it would be good to look further in to this, and also look in to Park involvement opportunities. The government appears to be looking at the value of the land vs. acreage. The government could take ownership of the Hamilton land and then capitalize it. The land discussions might also involve liability issues (for example, if trails are created). There could also be a liability-based reason for the Park to seek to improve its margins (for example, in order to create a fire prevention/brush clearance margin).
- During Matt's absence, Jane will be the point person for dealing with problems, routine and obvious. Problems that are extraordinary will be handled by Al Frei. Al will be coming to the Park several times.

7. Accept topics for future meetings with City of Novato (Jim Olson)

No topic ideas were offered for upcoming 2 x 2 meetings.

8. 2014 PAC Board Election (Larry Cohen)

There will be a PAC Board election starting next month. There will be three open seats. Jim has decided not to run, Jay has decided to run, and Jack has stated he is uncertain if he will run. Two others, both currently non-Board members, have indicated they will be running – Desiree Storch and Mike Hagerty.

- | | |
|-------------------|--|
| May 3-10 : | Nomination period. |
| May 19 (Monday) : | “Meet the Candidates” night. Peggy will conduct the event and is looking for volunteers to help. |
| May 27-June 6 : | Voting period. |
| June 6 : | Ballots will be counted. If more than three candidates, help will be needed to count ballots. |
| June 7 (11 AM) : | PAC annual meeting with the new Board members. |

9. Clubhouse Event Rules/Guidelines Committee (Jim Olson)

The Committee is composed of the presidents of the three boards and Matt. The Committee now has a final product, which needs to be approved by the City. It has gone to the city with some final questions, and the Committee is currently waiting.

Once the Rules/Guidelines are approved by the City, the contract (written by the Committee) and the change have to go in the Park Rules and Regulations at the same time. The boards will then review and approve, and implementation can then begin.

10. Tree/Shrub Policy Committee (Jim Olson)

Jim, Nancy and Matt have been going over what the Park can and can't do. The Committee would now like to invite resident input at Committee meetings or via email. The Committee is planning to create a product that can be taken to the City. The Committee meets 9:30 AM, Friday mornings in the library (not every week, though).

11. Park Insurance Meeting with City Staff (Tom Miller)

Last week, the City convened a group to examine the topic of Park insurance. The group included the City Health & Human Services Director, Veronica, Cathy, a new insurance broker (Alliance Insurance), and Tom. Tom was asked to come because of his knowledge of insurance and attended as a Park resident, not as a PAC Board member. The City is taking a hard look at the insurance program for the Park. The new insurance broker discussed a package that turned out to be duplicative of the current Park insurance package and 15% more (Jay did not feel this package was acceptable). The broker is redoing his proposal, which also includes a package for MarVal as well. There will probably be another meeting in a month.

The TPL land was discussed. There could be some occurrences on that land that could affect the Park. If the City does anything on that land, the City would have to bring the entire property to code and would also have to pay for insurance, which would be very expensive and which the Park could ultimately end up paying for.

Jay indicated the insurance being discussed would just be for the Park property, not the TPL land. However, the TPL issue could likely reappear. The current Park insurance premium for this year is around \$76,000. The new broker came in with something pushing \$90,000. That figure could expect to increase 1.75 to 2 times if the TPL land is included.

Jim reiterated that this is not a PAC project and that Tom is not acting as a PAC Board member. Tom responded that the topic is an important issue for the Park to examine due to its large impact on current and future Park budgets. Tom also commented that if the City starts making improvements to any part of the TPL land (such as slope repair, etc.), the entire land (regarding insurance and liabilities) becomes impacted and would likely also impact Park premiums.

Jay asked what would happen if the City treats the TPL land with total neglect and something happens on that land. Tom responded that the City's insurance would cover it.

12. Report: Community Development Consulting Committee (Jack Brandon)

Nothing to report.

13. Discussion: Process and Timing for Creating the Draft Minutes (Jack Brandon)

Jack had questions regarding the process for reviewing and finalizing the draft minutes. Jim summarized the process and also where the minutes are posted. Jack had comments/corrections he would like addressed in the March 5, 2014 minutes. He asked to reopen comments about the March 5 minutes.

Jack's March 5 comments/corrections are described in this evening's (April 2, 2014) minutes, within agenda item "D" (Consent Calendar). His comments/corrections have also been incorporated in the March 5, 2014 minutes.

F. GENERAL BUSINESS

1. Jack made the following motion regarding 2x2 meetings:

"That following any and all meetings that the 2x2 Committee holds on behalf of the PAC Board, a written summary be submitted to that Board for inclusion in its next meeting minutes so that that report becomes a documented report of the PAC Board."

The above motion was made and seconded.

Jack read the following statement in support of the motion:

"While I can understand and welcome the request for input from the residents, as stated in item (7) of this evening's agenda, I feel the 2x2 Committee should accept even broader responsibility to both the community and the Board. For example, the evening prior to the most recent City Council meeting held at our Clubhouse, the 2x2 Committee reported that there was consideration being given to the acquisition of lands surrounding our community from the Trust for Public Lands. The very next evening the City approved that acquisition with our community being assessed half the cost, which came to \$250,000. At the PAC Board meeting the previous night, a resident suggested that if this acquisition was to take place would we have a representative voice in how that land was to be utilized. I do not feel the 2x2 ever gave a definitive response. Did the city share with our committee the finality of their decision? Did our committee share with us their full knowledge of this transaction?"

As a Board member, and one who feels this acquisition was in our best interests, I do feel we should have had significantly more information. Furthermore, I do feel \$250,000 is a far greater portion of a financial impact on our funds than it is on the City of Novato and should perhaps have been subject to negotiation. The 2x2 Committee should have the latitude and responsibility to represent the interests of our community, and gather facts as subjects of importance come up in their meetings with representatives of the City. Things may come up on subjects which residents may have no awareness of at the time they arise.”

Tom commented that maybe the 2x2 Committee isn't in the loop all the time with the City. A resident mentioned that it sounds like there's an assumption that the City has an obligation to tell the Park anything. Another resident commented that it seems like inclusion of the meeting discussion is reasonable.

Jay mentioned that when 2x2 meetings occur, reports are presented at the following PAC meeting. Creating summary draft documents, and going back and forth with them, would add considerable time/effort. Jim added that when he is notified by the City of 2x2 meeting dates, he sends emails to the other PAC Board members.

Jack indicated it would be helpful for the agenda to list the basic discussion topics that were included during a 2x 2 meeting so that Board members and residents would know before each meeting if there are subjects they might want to specifically address. Jack indicated he would be happy with a summary in the agenda.

Jack withdrew his motion. He is agreeable to having a 2x2 summary included in the PAC Board agenda.

2. Discussion/Possible Motion: Budget (Jack Brandon)

Jack indicated he would like the budget presented line-by-line so that each line could be voted on.

Motion made and seconded that when the budget is presented, there would be a line-by-line report on the agenda.

Tom asked if, instead, the budget could be presented category by category instead of line by line. Jack is agreeable to having the budget presented category by category.

Jay mentioned that the City and management (Al Frei) pretty much create the budget based on what they see to be the needs of the Park. Jay asked Jack if what he wants is to indicate to them what the Park agrees/disagrees with. Jack responded that what he

would like is for the Park Budget Committee to send back to the City the PAC Board vote.

Original motion restated and seconded that when the budget is presented, the PAC Board would vote category by category: Motion passed 4-1, with Jim dissenting because he doesn't see the point.

3. Discussion/Action: Contact with City Engineer to determine if there is anything we can do now, cost effectively, to identify weak spots in the infrastructure system that timely, early repairs would be considered for them. This proactive, short term approach to infrastructure needs would be cost saving in the long term and may well prevent larger, more costly repairs as well. Cameras and ultrasound/sonar devices could identify weak areas (Tom Miller)

Tom made a motion, which was seconded, to determine if there is anything the PAC Board can do now, cost effectively, to identify weak spots in the infrastructure system that timely, early repairs would be considered for them.

Tom would like City input/opinion. Jay indicated he thinks it's premature until the City Engineer and Architect are hired and can look at the infrastructure and give input. Tom responded that either the PAC Board wants to be proactive or it wants to wait until it receives feedback and then respond. Tom mentioned he prefers to be proactive so the PAC Board can learn now what problems may likely arise. He would like to push the City to examine the issue sooner rather than later. Larry indicated that an extensive report already exists. Jim mentioned that the Property Condition Report includes infrastructure details and focuses on improvements that should be made now instead of later. Tom indicated he would like to see the report.

Anila mentioned that the City website includes the Property Condition Report. Another resident mentioned that an update would be good for the next agenda.

Tom withdrew his motion.

4. Approve Recommendations from "Wish List" Committee (Jim Olson, Jay Shelfer)

From last year:

- Shades: \$6000
- Screen and projector for Ballroom: \$7000

For this year:

- Patio cover (outdoor fabric on wires): \$12,500
- Security camera at Park entrance: \$3500

- MVEST radios: \$3000
- MVEST training and various: \$4000 (changed to \$6000)

Jim mentioned that Matt came up with the idea for using outdoor fabric on wires for the patio cover. Regarding the security camera, it would capture license plates from cars leaving the Park at night and store the images. Regarding MVEST, Jim indicated the City wanted item-by-item budget costs for MVEST. Regarding other suggestions, such as paint, lower deck, etc., these are handled as maintenance (not Wish List) items. The ramp was priced at \$44,000. A suggestion was made that the ramp be incorporated as part of an ADA recommendation.

Jack asked about the security camera – if such cameras deter crime. He feels the camera is a waste of money. A resident responded that such a camera (and the sign indicating the presence of a security camera) is a deterrent. Jack requested from the Novato Police Department if they could provide information about the number of burglaries in Marin Valley.

A motion was made and seconded to accept the Wish List as presented.

Tom inquired as to the number of items on the original Wish List. Jim responded there were around 12 (two of which are carryovers). Tom wondered who made the decision to cut out nine. Jim responded that the decision was made by the Budget group.

Tom commented he feels that budget priorities are askew and that health/safety needs are not considered as priority.

Larry proposed increasing MVEST funding by \$2,000, to \$9,000.

Serena from MVEST provided thanks for the proposal for the additional \$2,000. MVEST originally asked for \$11,000. She added that there are deferred MVEST issues and that MVEST would like the window kept open for further discussion next year.

John from MVEST commented that very little has been done the last year, so MVEST is attempting to catch up. He indicated the community is unprepared to respond to a disaster. A few thousand dollars can be leveraged greatly. John added that he sees the problem here to be similar to what happened during the Northridge earthquake in the 1970s, when 10% of residences were mobile homes and 95% of mobile homes in that area were heavily impacted.

An amended motion was made and seconded for an additional \$2,000 for MVEST.

Anila urged that MVEST's Wish List request of \$11,000 be funded.

John mentioned that \$10,000 would help with the MVEST programs, except for one program which could be done at another time.

The amended motion to increase MVEST budget funding by \$2,000, to \$9,000, was voted on and affirmed 3-2 (3 yeas, 2 nos from Tom and Jack). Tom voted No because he believes MVEST should have \$10,000.

Anila wondered about the \$7,000 for the screen and projector. Jim responded that they would be used for meetings and presentations.

A motion was made and seconded to accept the Wish List, with the amendment for the additional \$2,000 for MVEST. Motion passed 3-2 (3 yeas, 2 nos from Tom and Jack). Tom voted No because he wants to give MVEST more than \$2,000.

Several Board members and residents suggested doing further research about the effectiveness of a security camera before spending the funds on the camera, and giving the funds instead to MVEST.

5. Determination of next meeting date

- Budget Meeting: Wednesday, April 23, 2014
- Regular Meeting: Wednesday, May 7, 2014

NOTE: The PAC Board meeting in June will occur on Saturday, June 7, 2014, 11 AM.

G. REPORTS FROM OTHER BOARDS

1. HOL

HOL's next meeting is in two weeks. HOL is working on redoing the Board. HOL will start getting ready for nominations for elections. HOL is going to raise the (voluntary) dues from \$10 to \$20. The last time they were raised was 1994 (from \$5 to \$10).

2. MAR VAL

No report.

3. MVSC

No report

H. PAC BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS

Jack asked for an overview of the budget process, especially regarding how the Wish List is incorporated and the budget finalized. Jack was wondering if the Board gets a chance to vote on it again. Jim mentioned that Board agreed to vote on sections of it and that would occur at the special budget meeting on April 23.

I. ADJOURNMENT: 10:40 PM

Motion to adjourn entered, seconded and passed: 5-0